
Teaching the Next Generation of Child-Computer Interaction Researchers and Designers

Maarten Van Mechelen

Gökçe Elif Baykal

Eva Eriksson

Ole Sejer Iversen

Aarhus University, Denmark

mvanmechelen@cc.au.dk

elif.baykal@cc.au.dk

evae@cc.au.dk

iversen@cc.au.dk

Janet Read

University of Central

Lancashire, UK

JCRead@uclan.ac.uk

Juan Pablo Hourcade

University of Iowa, USA

juanpablo-hourcade@uiowa.edu

Shuli Gilutz

Tel Aviv University, Israel

shulig@post.tau.ac.il

Mathieu Gielen

Delft University of Technology,
the Netherlands

M.A.Gielen@tudelft.nl

Greg Walsh

University of Baltimore, USA

gwalsh@ubalt.edu

Abstract

Despite the Child-Computer Interaction (CCI) community's rapid growth in the past two decades, there has traditionally been less focus on developing a curriculum to teach CCI to students. This entails a risk for a gap between the accumulation of knowledge and the transfer of this knowledge to new generations of researchers and designers. Building on previous workshops organized at IDC 2011 and 2014, the goal of this workshop is to gauge the current state of teaching CCI to undergraduate, graduate and doctoral students. More specifically, the workshop aims to re-evaluate previous lessons learned, stimulate reflection on best practices, facilitate an exchange of knowledge, and provide a forum for international collaboration. The envisioned outcome is a blueprint for a CCI curriculum that can be taught anywhere in the world.

Author Keywords

Child-Computer Interaction, Curriculum Design, Teaching

CSS Concepts

• **Human-centered computing~Human computer interaction (HCI)**; HCI theory, concepts and models

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honoured. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

IDC 2020 Workshop, June 21-24, 2020, London, UK.

© 2020 Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).

Introduction

In less than two decades, the field of Child-Computer Interaction (CCI) has reached a certain degree of maturity by establishing its own conference in 2002 and a journal in 2013. Since the early days, CCI has grown extensively and has raised awareness of the needs of children in the design of technology beyond its borders into related research fields and industry [10,31]. Despite CCI's rapid growth, there has traditionally been less focus on developing a curriculum to teach CCI to students. This entails a risk for a gap between the accumulation of knowledge and the transfer of this knowledge to new generations of researchers and designers. Moreover, as the community and its international outreach grow, the initial common ground, shared vocabulary and literature could get lost. Despite previous efforts to address this gap and develop a CCI curriculum [e.g., 6,14,25], we believe it is timely to organise a follow-up workshop and gauge the current state of teaching CCI to undergraduate, graduate and doctoral students (e.g., course programs, ethical challenges, key readings, issues around assessment). Knowing that there is no one good way of teaching CCI, this workshop aims to bring together educators, researchers, designers and practitioners with an interest in teaching CCI or related subjects. During the workshop, we will map out current teaching practices, previous experiences and ideas for the future. The workshop will result in a blueprint for a CCI curriculum that can be taught anywhere in the world.

Background

In the field of Human-Computer Interaction (HCI), the discussion on teaching has been going on for a few decades and dates back to a workshop organised at CHI 1985 that resulted in a HCI curriculum defining core topics

within disciplinary fields [21]. A number of new workshops, panels and papers on teaching HCI followed in the years thereafter, which indicates that this is an ongoing debate [e.g., 3,13,15,20,21,23,24,24,29].

Albeit more recently, a similar discussion has been going on in the field of Participatory Design (PD). A paper by [20] describes the content of a course on PD and students ambivalent reactions to this content. They were both fascinated by the possibilities of PD and sceptical about the informal nature of PD practices and the extent to which PD leads to better systems. Another paper by [9] presents a course on PD that was designed with and for students to overcome the usual asymmetry between instructors and students based on an uneven distribution of power. More recently, [8] reflected on the implementation of Schön's concept of a reflective practicum via live projects in a PD course that has run for many years. They discerned teaching issues related to both the course content (e.g., the urgency of rapid commitment of participants) and inherent to learning PD (e.g., feeding forward results from one design encounter into the next), and conclude with suggestions to further improve their teaching approach.

In the field of CCI, which is closely related to HCI and PD [34], the debate on teaching surfaced at the start of the previous decade. CCI was, and is, taught in different ways around the world, including conference courses [e.g., 1,19,28], university courses [e.g., 12,15,16], summer schools [e.g., 2], and numerous guest lectures. In addition, [22] developed a textbook about CCI that covers a wide range of topics including, but not limited to, the history of the field, child development, creativity and problem solving, usability for children, and health and special needs. Another book by [26] has a more narrow

focus and provides detailed instructions for evaluating children's interactive products, richly illustrated by case studies.

Despite these textbooks and ongoing teaching practices, only a few attempts have been made to explicitly address 'how' to teach CCI to students, and to stimulate discussion and the sharing of knowledge in the community. [18] were the first to organise a workshop about this topic at IDC 2011. The goal of the workshop was to explore the various ways in which CCI is taught and to define core principles for a CCI curriculum that accounts for the multidisciplinary nature of the field. They suggested a flexible approach to teaching CCI that integrates four different perspectives but with varying emphasis depending on the context: a disciplinary, technology, user-experience, and research and design methods perspective. A few years later, another workshop was organised by [7] at IDC 2014 that served as a forum for exchanging best teaching practices and encouraging international collaboration.

Both workshops resulted in a number of publications on teaching CCI in the years thereafter [e.g., 2,5,6,10–12,25]. [29] discussed decisions about the content and goals that went into the design of several CCI courses. The topics of these courses reflect 'the nature of CCI' [30] and include, among other things, the differences between children and adults, accessibility and ethics, novel interaction techniques for children, gathering requirements, and evaluating products with and for children. Building on this work, [11] suggested a 'constructively aligned approach' to teaching CCI. They argued that, to facilitate students' meaning-making, CCI topics should be aligned with teaching methods and learning objectives. The objectives should be stated in

such a way that it is clear to students what skills and knowledge they should be able to demonstrate after the course, and the teaching practice should be designed to help students to reach these objectives [5]. In a follow-up publication, they discussed how they applied the constructively aligned approach in a CCI course and what lessons they learned [12]. A key lesson is that, compared to previous courses, the approach improved students' domain-specific knowledge about CCI and practical insight for working with children, but it did not necessarily improve the novelty and quality of students' designs. Other papers on teaching CCI focused on bridging the gap between child development theories and interaction design [3], and on training students to design for vulnerable populations in a quest for more inclusive design [6].

This overview shows that there are experiences to build on and that we do not have to start from scratch every time a new teaching module on CCI should be developed. However, in the past five years, no new initiatives and publications emerged on teaching CCI. In addition, the nature of children's interactions with technology has changed rapidly (e.g., more mobile, use of voice assistants and internet of things technologies), which may affect teaching practice. Therefore, we believe it is timely to organise a follow-up workshop on teaching CCI to undergraduate, graduate and doctoral students. The aim of the workshop is to re-evaluate previous lessons learned, stimulate reflection on best practices, facilitate an exchange of knowledge, and provide a forum for international collaboration. We invite people from different disciplines with an interest in teaching CCI to submit descriptions of teaching practices (e.g. course program, key readings), experiences they had (e.g., ethical challenges, issues around assessment), and/or novel

ideas for training the next generation of CCI researchers and designers. Of special interest are accounts of how CCI topics are, or can be, aligned with learning goals, relevant theories, good assignments, and didactic principles. During the workshop, we will first map out these practices, experiences and ideas to find a common ground and, afterwards, develop a blueprint for a CCI curriculum that can be taught anywhere in the world. Given the multidisciplinary nature of CCI, this curriculum will have to be tailored to the specific context such as the school and particular program, the background of the students, the expertise of the instructors, and the available time and resources.

Workshop Outline

Before the Workshop

We will distribute the Call for Participation via personal contacts, social media and mailing lists. All educators, researchers, designers and practitioners with an interest in teaching CCI or closely related subjects are welcome to submit a position paper. In their position papers, authors should describe teaching practices (e.g., course program), experiences they had (e.g., ethical challenges), and/or novel ideas for teaching CCI. Of special interest are ideas about the alignment of core CCI topics with learning goals, relevant theories, practical assignments, and didactic principles. Position papers have to be sent to mvanmechelen@cc.au.dk by the 13th of April, and authors will be notified of acceptance or rejection no later than the 20th of April. Participants will be selected by the organisers based on the quality and contribution of their position paper. The expected number of participants is about 15 people, including some of the organisers. Accepted position papers will be published on the conference website if agreed upon by the

authors. Selected participants will be instructed to read another participant's position paper in preparation of the workshop.

During the workshop

The workshop is planned for a full day and will be hands-on from start to end, meaning that participants do not have to prepare a formal presentation. At the start of the workshop, participants will break out in groups of 4 to 6 people. First, participants will explain and discuss each other's position papers (see preparation) and, afterwards, they will map out the content of the papers (i.e., teaching practices, experiences and ideas for the future). In the second part of the workshop, the groups will present the results of this mapping exercise to the other groups. Together, all groups will then develop a blueprint for an international CCI curriculum including learning objectives, core topics, relevant theories, practical activities, key readings, and didactic principles. The workshop will conclude with a discussion of open challenges (e.g., how to tailor the blueprint to different contexts) and next steps to be taken. The detailed workshop schedule will be published on the website.

After the workshop

We will publish a workshop report in ACM Interactions or a similar magazine. Depending on the interest of the participants, we will furthermore arrange a special issue on teaching CCI in the International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction (IJCCI). Another possibility is a joint article based on the discussions during the workshop. It is our intention to use the workshop website for continued work and events, and we will organise a follow-up workshop a few years from now to keep the discussion and knowledge exchange going.

Organizers

The organizers represent six universities in five countries (Denmark, the UK, Israel, the Netherlands, and the USA), and have been active members of the CCI community for many years. Their backgrounds reflect the multidisciplinary nature of CCI and include computer science, humanities, design and education. All organizers have relevant teaching experience in CCI and/or closely related subjects such as Design for Play, Human-Computer Interaction and Game Design, both on an undergraduate and graduate level, and have in common the belief that children's interests should be represented in the design of technology. Some of them have also published about teaching Child-Computer Interaction, and were involved in organizing CCI courses at academic conferences. Two of the organizers, Shuli Gilutz and Eva Eriksson, have conducted workshops on teaching CCI during IDC 2011 and 2014. For further information about the organizers, please consult the workshop website.

Call for Participation

Despite the Child-Computer Interaction (CCI) community's rapid growth in the past two decades, there has traditionally been less focus on developing a curriculum to teach CCI to students. This entails a risk for a gap between the accumulation of knowledge and the transfer of this knowledge to new generations of researchers and designers. Building on previous workshops at IDC 2011 and 2014, the aim of this full-day workshop at IDC 2020 (June 21, London) is to gauge the current state of teaching CCI to undergraduate, graduate and doctoral students. We invite people from different disciplines with an interest in teaching CCI or closely related subjects to submit a

position paper via email to mvannmechelen@cc.au.dk by April 13th outlining the following:

- Short biography with focus on teaching experience, if any (ca. 150 words)
- Description of teaching practices, experiences and/or ideas (1 to 2 pages), including but not limited to:
 - Course topics and learning goals
 - Student assignments
 - Didactic principles
 - List with key readings
 - Ethical challenges related to teaching
 - Assessment practices
 - Novel teaching ideas

Of special interest are ideas about aligning core topics with learning goals, relevant theories, good assignments, and didactic principles. During the workshop, we will first map out current practices, experiences and ideas for the future and, afterwards, develop a blueprint for a CCI curriculum that can be taught anywhere in the world.

Please note that it is a requirement that at least one author of each accepted position paper must attend the workshop, and that all participants must register for both the workshop and the main conference.

More information can be found on the workshop website: <https://cctd.au.dk/idc-workshop-2020/>

References

1. Lisa Anthony. 2019. Quantitative Methods for Child-Computer Interaction. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Interaction*

- Design and Children* (IDC '19), 710–713. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3311927.3325166>
2. Gökçe Elif Baykal, Maarten Van Mechelen, Cansu Oranc, and Doga Corlu. 2018. Yahsiworkshop #42: Child-Centered Design for Public Play Spaces in an Aegean Village. Retrieved from <http://yahsiworkshops.com/en/workshops/42>
 3. M. M. Bekker, W. Barendregt, P. Markopoulos, and J. Read. 2014. Teaching interaction design and children: Understanding the relevance of theory for design. *Interaction Design and Architecture(s)* 21: 9–24.
 4. Scott Berkun. 2002. Workshop: teaching interaction design. In *CHI '02 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (CHI EA '02), 921. <https://doi.org/10.1145/506443.506659>
 5. John Biggs. 2011. *Teaching For Quality Learning At University*. Open University Press, Maidenhead.
 6. C. Calefato, L. Cattani, J.L. Charlton, S. Cook, Eva Eriksson, C. Ferrarini, E. Gosling, C. Nicolle, and Olof Torgersson. 2014. Training designers for vulnerable generations: A quest for a more inclusive design. *Interaction Design and Architecture(s)* 21: 25–36.
 7. Caterina Calefato, Eva Eriksson, Olof Torgersson, and Chiara Ferrarini. 2014. Curriculum or Not – Show Us How You Teach Interaction Design and Children! Retrieved from <https://research.chalmers.se/en/publication/195214>
 8. Jörn Christiansson, Erik Grönvall, and Signe Louise Yndigegn. 2018. Teaching participatory design using live projects: critical reflections and lessons learnt. In *Proceedings of the 15th Participatory Design Conference: Full Papers - Volume 1* (PDC '18), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3210586.3210597>
 9. Vincenzo D'Andrea and Maurizio Teli. 2010. Teaching participatory design: a participatory approach. In *Proceedings of the 11th Biennial Participatory Design Conference* (PDC '10), 223–226. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1900441.1900486>
 10. Verónica Donoso, Valerie Verdoodt, Maarten Van Mechelen, and Lina Jasmontaite. 2016. Faraway, so close: why the digital industry needs scholars and the other way around. *Journal of Children and Media* 10, 2: 200–207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17482798.2015.1131728>
 11. Eva Eriksson and Olof Torgersson. 2014. Towards a constructively aligned approach to teaching interaction design & children. In *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Interaction design and children* (IDC '14), 333–336. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2593968.2610485>
 12. Eva Eriksson and Olof Torgersson. 2015. "It is really hard to understand people that are that different from me" - In Search for an IDC Curriculum. <https://doi.org/10.7190/ead/2015/133>
 13. Eva Eriksson and Olof Torgersson. 2020. Design of Children's Technology. Retrieved from https://student.portal.chalmers.se/en/chalmersstudies/courseinformation/pages/searchcourse.aspx?course_id=23444&parsergrp=3
 14. Chiara Ferrarini, Eva Eriksson, Roberto Montanari, and Ruth Sims. 2013. The DEVICE project: development of educational programs with a specific focus on design for children. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children* (IDC '13), 360–363. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2485760.2485843>

15. James Foley, Michel Beaudouin-Lafon, Jonathan Grudin, James Hollan, Scott Hudson, Judy Olson, and Bill Verplank. 2005. Graduate education in human-computer interaction. In *CHI '05 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (CHI EA '05), 2113–2114. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1056808.1057112>
16. Mathieu Gielen. 2020. Co-design and Research with Children. Retrieved from https://studiegids.tudelft.nl/a101_displayCourse.do?course_id=50885
17. Shuli Gilutz. 2020. Children and Digital Interfaces. Retrieved from <https://www30.tau.ac.il/yedion/syllabus.asp?course=0723203301&year=2017>
18. Shuli Gilutz, Tilde Bekker, Shalom Fisch, and Paulo Blikstein. 2011. Teaching interaction design & children within diverse disciplinary curricula. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children* (IDC '11), 257–259. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1999030.1999076>
19. Rich Halstead-Nussloch and William Carpenter. 2002. Teaching and learning ubiquitous CHI (UCHI) design: suggestions from the Bauhaus Model. In *CHI '02 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (CHI EA '02), 660–661. <https://doi.org/10.1145/506443.506533>
20. K. Maike Hecht and Susanne Maass. 2008. Teaching participatory design. In *Proceedings of the Tenth Anniversary Conference on Participatory Design 2008* (PDC '08), 166–169.
21. Thomas T. Hewett, Ronald Baecker, Stuart Card, Tom Carey, Jean Gasen, Marilyn Mantei, Gary Perlman, Gary Strong, and William Verplank. 1992. *ACM SIGCHI Curricula for Human-Computer Interaction*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA.
22. Juan Pablo Hourcade. 2015. *Child-Computer Interaction*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
23. Juan Pablo Hourcade, Luiza Superti Pantoja, and Kyle Diederich. 2019. Child Development and Interaction Design. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Interaction Design and Children* (IDC '19), 706–709. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3311927.3325168>
24. Panayiotis Koutsabasis, Spyros Vosinakis, Modestos Stavrakis, and Panagiotis Kyriakoulakos. 2018. Teaching HCI with a studio approach: lessons learnt. In *Proceedings of the 22nd Pan-Hellenic Conference on Informatics* (PCI '18), 282–287. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3291533.3291561>
25. Jonathan Lazar, Jenny Preece, Jean Gasen, and Terry Winograd. 2002. New issues in teaching HCI: pinning a tail on a moving donkey. In *CHI '02 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (CHI EA '02), 696–697. <https://doi.org/10.1145/506443.506551>
26. Panos Markopoulos, Janet Read, Stuart MacFarlane, and Johanna Hoysniemi. 2008. *Evaluating Children's Interactive Products - 1st Edition*. Morgan Kaufmann, Burlington. Retrieved from <https://www.elsevier.com/books/evaluating-childrens-interactive-products/markopoulos/978-0-12-374111-0>
27. Anicia Peters, Zayira Jordan, Luiz Merkle, Mario Moreno Rocha, Jose Abdelnour Nocera, Gerrit C. van der Veer, Susan Dray, Jennifer Preece, and Elizabeth Churchill. 2016. Teaching HCI: A Living Curriculum? In *Proceedings of the First African Conference on Human Computer Interaction*

- (AfriCHI'16), 267–270.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/2998581.2998618>
28. Clark N. Quinn, Jonas Lowgren, Jean Gasen, and Peter Gorny. 1994. Designing the teaching of HCI. In *Conference Companion on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '94)*, 466.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/259963.260484>
29. Janet C. Read. 2011. Creating a child computer interaction curriculum. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children (IDC '11)*, 268–270.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/1999030.1999079>
30. Janet C. Read and Mathilde M. Bekker. 2011. The nature of child computer interaction. In *Proceedings of the 25th BCS Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (BCS-HCI '11)*, 163–170.
31. Janet C. Read, Dan Fitton, Gavin Sim, Martha Bjorklund, Michail Giannakos, Suzanne Clarke, Maarten Van Mechelen, Nanna Borum, and Steve Perry. 2019. IDC Methods into Industry and Innovation. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Interaction Design and Children (IDC '19)*, 676–680.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3311927.3325164>
32. Janet C. Read and Shuli Gilutz. 2016. Research Methods for Child Computer Interaction. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '16)*, 927–930.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/2851581.2856678>
33. Keiichi Sato and William Verplank. 2000. Panel: teaching tangible interaction design. In *Proceedings of the 3rd conference on Designing interactive systems: processes, practices, methods, and techniques (DIS '00)*, 444–445.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/347642.347807>
34. Maarten Van Mechelen. 2016. Designing Technologies for and with Children: Theoretical Reflections and a Practical Inquiry towards a Co-design Toolkit. Retrieved from
<https://lirias.kuleuven.be/1674366>